



## Acknowledgements

This report is the result of a partnership between the Ventura County Homeless and Housing Coalition and over 200 community representatives who served as team members under the leadership of the following team leaders:

Rick Pearson, Chair, Homeless Count Committee  
Project Understanding

**Harold Cartlidge**  
Unitarian Universalist Church

**Selena Escoto**  
Fillmore Police Department

**Pat Esseff**  
Catholic Charities

**Mary Gavia-Barajas**  
Oxnard Housing Authority

**Todd Goehner**  
Lutheran Social Services

**Myranda Huck**  
CSUCI Capstone Program

**Kathy Jenks**  
Ventura County Department of Animal  
Regulation

**Karl Keller**  
Ventura Social Services Task Force

**Sylvia Maya**  
Oxnard Housing Authority

**Kate Mills**  
Ventura County Public Health Department

**Jessica Murray**  
Help of Ojai/  
Community Assistance Program

**Toni Palazuelos**  
Ventura County Behavioral Health  
Department

**Chris Poynter**  
Lutheran Social Services

**Jeff Rodriguez**  
Crossroads Community Church  
Camarillo

**Rick Schroeder**  
Many Mansions

**Karol Schulkin**  
County of Ventura Human Services Agency

**Debbie Solomon**  
City of Simi Valley

**Sandra Thompson**  
City of Simi Valley

**Jose-Luis Vasquez**  
County of Ventura Human Services Agency

This report was prepared by the Institute for Urban Research and Development, an agency of the Institute for Urban Initiatives ([www.urban-initiatives.org](http://www.urban-initiatives.org)).

Joe Colletti, PhD, Project Director  
Sofia Herrera, PhD, Project Associate Director  
Thelma Herrera, Graphic Designer

and the

Ventura County Homeless and Housing Coalition  
Cathy Brudnicki, Executive Director

### Special thanks

The Ventura County Homeless and Housing Coalition would like to thank

The City of Ventura  
The County of Ventura  
No Room at the Inn

The Ventura County Community Foundation  
The Ventura County Office of Education  
The United Way of Ventura County

for their generous support of the 2007 Homeless Count.

# Table of Contents

	Page
<b>I. Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Location (Streets or Facilities) .....	1
B. Age.....	2
C. Gender .....	3
D. Ethnicity .....	3
E. State Born .....	4
F. Children .....	4
G. Family Composition.....	4
H. In What City Did You Sleep Last Night? .....	5
I. What City Do You Consider Your Home? .....	6
<b>II. Background Information .....</b>	<b>7</b>
A. When Was the 2007 Count Conducted?.....	7
B. Who Was Counted?.....	7
C. Who Carried Out the Count?.....	8
<b>III. Methodology.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>IV. Findings By Jurisdiction.....</b>	<b>12</b>
A. Camarillo.....	13
B. Fillmore.....	14
C. Moorpark .....	15
D. Ojai .....	16
E. Oxnard .....	17
F. Port Hueneme.....	18
G. Santa Paula.....	19
H. Simi Valley .....	20
I. Thousand Oaks.....	21
J. Unincorporated Areas .....	22
K. Ventura.....	23
<b>Appendix A.....</b>	<b>24</b>

## Local Facts About Homelessness in Ventura County

1. There are 1,961 homeless<sup>1</sup> adults and children on a given day;
2. There were 1,679 (85.7%) adults and 282 (14.3%) children;
3. 1,469 (74.9%) of adults and children were counted on the streets and 492 (25.1%) were counted in facilities for a total of 1,961 persons;
4. Of the 1,679 adults counted, 69 (4.1%) were seniors age 62 or older; 40 (2.4%) were seniors age 65 or older; and 11 (0.7%) were seniors age 75 or older;
5. Of the 1,679 adults counted, approximately 10% (173) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;
6. Of the 1,679 adults counted, 1,150 (68.5%) or approximately two-thirds were men and 529 (31.5%) or approximately one-third were women;
7. Of the 1,679 adults counted, 987 (58.8%) were White; 512 (30.5%) were Hispanic or Latino; 94 (5.6%) were African American or Black; 34 (2.0%) stated other; 32 (1.9%) stated American Indian or Alaskan Native; and 20 (1.2%) stated Asian or Pacific Islander;
8. There were 141 families consisting of 33 two-parent and 108 single-parent families.

The local facts above do not include persons who are at risk of becoming homeless. As noted in footnote 1, persons at risk of becoming homeless were not included in the count. It is important to note that according to the U. S. Census Bureau, in 2000, there were approximately 42,000 households consisting of about 130,000 persons (nearly one of every five residents) in Ventura County who were members of a household whose annual income was less than \$25,000. These households are at risk of becoming homeless.

---

<sup>1</sup>A person was considered homeless, and thus counted, only when he/she fell within the following HUD-based definition by residing in one of the following places: a) in places not meant for human habitation, such as cars, parks, sidewalks, and abandoned buildings; b) in an emergency shelter; and c) in transitional housing for homeless persons.

## I. Executive Summary

This report is meant to answer the primary question “**How Many Homeless People<sup>1</sup> Are There In The County of Ventura On A Given Day.**” The answer is 1,961 adults and children.<sup>2</sup>

While the primary purpose of the count was to find out how many people were homeless on a given day, some demographic questions can be answered as well. The homeless count gathered limited information about adults only (see Appendix A

---

*There are 1,961 homeless adults and children in the County of Ventura on a given day.*

---

Count Instrument). Such information included location (whether a person was counted on the streets or in a residential facility that serves homeless persons), age, gender, ethnicity, and state born. Adults were also asked if their “spouse or partner were homeless and living with them” and “how many of their children under 18 years of age were homeless and living with them.” Two other questions were also asked—“In what city did you sleep last night?” and “What city do you consider your home?”

A homeless survey is currently being conducted which will provide a wide-range of information from approximately 20% of the number of homeless adults who were counted. Such information will include responses to questions concerning domestic violence, education, foster care, health care, income, mental health care, residency, substance abuse, and veteran status.

### A. LOCATION (STREETS OR FACILITIES)

- 1,469 (or 74.9%) of adults and children were counted on the streets and 492 (or 25.1%) were counted in facilities for a total of 1,961 persons.

Counters recorded the actual location where a HUD defined homeless person was counted. If the person (and their children) was counted on the streets—in places not meant for

---

<sup>1</sup>A person was considered homeless, and thus counted, only when he/she fell within the following HUD-based definition by residing in one of the following places: a) in places not meant for human habitation, such as cars, parks, sidewalks, and abandoned buildings; b) in an emergency shelter; and c) in transitional housing for homeless persons.

<sup>2</sup>This report recognizes that there was an undercount of homeless persons. There were a few reports by counters who stated that some homeless people did not want to be counted. There were also a few reports by homeless service providers who felt that they may have missed some people who came for services.

human habitation, such as cars, parks, sidewalks, and abandoned buildings—the person was included in the street category. If a person was counted in a shelter (including domestic violence shelters), the person was included in the facility category. A shelter was defined as an overnight facility that allows residents to stay up to 90 days. Please note that persons counted in winter shelters were included in the street category. A winter shelter is a seasonal residential program in which persons stay on a night-by-night basis. Also, persons who received hotel/motel vouchers for five (5) days or less were also included in the street category.

If a person was counted in a transitional housing program, the person was included in the facility category. A transitional housing program was defined as an overnight facility that allows residents to stay up to two (2) years. This definition is consistent with the HUD definition for transitional housing.

As noted in the methodology section (Section III), an identifier was created for each homeless adult. Counters recorded the initials, gender, ethnicity, year of birth, and state born of each individual homeless person. An example of an identifier is WTMW1957CA. If the same person was encountered again counters would establish the same code. However, this person (and their children) was only counted once in the final tally. If a person was counted twice—once in a facility and once on the streets—the person was counted once and included in the facility category. If a person was counted more than twice and at least once in a facility, the person was included in the facility category.

## **B. AGE**

### **Seniors (Age 62 or Older)**

- 69 (or 4.1%) of the 1,679 adults counted were seniors age 62 or older;
- 40 (or 2.7%) of the 1,679 adults counted were seniors age 65 or older;
- 11 (or 0.7%) of the 1,679 adults counted were seniors age 75 or older.

Of the 1,679 adults counted, 4.1% were seniors age 62 or older, 2.7% were age 65 or older, and 0.7% were age 75 or older.

### **Youth (Ages 18 – 24)**

- 173 (or 10.3%) of the 1,679 adults counted were youth between the ages of 18 – 24.

Of the 1,679 adults counted, 173 or approximately 10% were youth between the ages of 18 – 24.

### **Unaccompanied Children (Ages 13 – 17)**

- 7 (or 0.5%) of the 1,679 adults<sup>3</sup> counted were actually unaccompanied children (without parents) between the ages of 13 – 17.

Of the 1,679 adults counted, 7 or 0.5% were actually unaccompanied teenagers (without parents) between the ages of 13 - 17.

### **Other Adults (ages 25 - 61)**

- Of the 1,679 adults counted, 1,430 or 85.2% were between the ages of 25 - 61.

Of the 1,430 (85.2%) adults counted, about half (47.5%) or 679 adults were “baby-boomers”—those persons born between the years 1946 and 1964.

## **C. GENDER**

- Of the 1,679 adults counted, 1,150 (or 68.5%) were men and 529 (or 31.5%) were women.

Gender and other identifier information were only collected for adults. This information revealed that approximately two-thirds (68.5%) of homeless adults were men and about one-third (31.5%) were women.

## **D. ETHNICITY<sup>4</sup>**

- Of the 1,679 adults counted, 987 (or 58.8%) were White; 512 (or 30.5%) were Hispanic or Latino; 94 (or 5.6%) were African American or Black; 34 (or 2.0%) stated other; 32 (or 1.9%) stated American Indian or Alaskan Native; and 20 (or 1.2%) stated Asian or Pacific Islander.

<sup>3</sup>The 1,679 adults counted includes seven (7) unaccompanied (without parents) children. These children are distinct from the 282 children under the age of 18 who were accompanied (with parents).

<sup>4</sup>Ethnic categories consisted with 2000 U. S. Census data were used.

Whites made up the majority (58.8%) which is more than half of all homeless adults. Hispanics or Latinos made up nearly one-third (30.5%) and all other ethnic groups (including those who stated other) approximately 10% (10.7%).

#### **E. STATE BORN**

- Of the 1,679 adults counted, 780 (or 46.5%) were born in California.

Nearly half (46.5%) of the 1,679 adults were born in California. There were 25 (or 1.5% of) adults who were born in Texas, 18 (or 1.1%) in Washington, 16 (or 1.0%) in New York and Illinois. All other states had a percentage of less than 1%.

Other persons counted were foreign-born. Approximately 10% or 177 persons were born in a state in Mexico and 31 persons (or 1.9%) were born in countries other than the United States and Mexico.

#### **F. CHILDREN**

- Of the 1,961 persons who were counted, 282 or 14.3% were children under the age of 18 living with a homeless parent(s) who was included in the count.<sup>5</sup>

There were 282 children under the age of 18 representing 14.3% of the 1,961 persons who were counted.

#### **G. FAMILY COMPOSITION**

There were 141 families consisting of 33 two-parent and 108 single-parent families.

##### **Two-Parent Families**

- There were 33 two-parent families that consisted of 66 adults and 72 children.

---

<sup>5</sup>The 282 children do not include the seven (7) unaccompanied children (without a parent or parents) between the ages of 13 – 17 that were included with the 1,697 adults.

A breakdown of the 33 two-parent families by the number of children is as follows:

Number of 2-Parent Families	Number of Children in family
13	1
7	2
10	3
1	4
1	5
1	6

### Single-Parent Families

- There were 108 single-parent families that consisted of 106 adults and 210 children.

A breakdown of the 108 single-parent families by the number of children is as follows:

Number of Single-Parent Families	Number of Children in family
47	1
35	2
16	3
7	4
2	5
1	7

### Couples

Although a family was defined as a one- or two-parent household with child(ren), it is worth noting that there were 79 homeless adults that stated that their spouse or partner was living with them. They stated “no” when asked if they had any children living with them.

### H. IN WHAT CITY DID YOU SLEEP LAST NIGHT?

Homeless adult respondents provided a range of answers to the question—“In what city did you sleep last night?” Counters were instructed to record “county” if a respondent provided an answer other than a city in Ventura County. The range of answers was as follows:

City or Area	# of Persons	% of Persons
Ventura	531	31.3
Oxnard	509	30.0
Simi Valley	139	8.2
Camarillo	93	5.4
Santa Paula	58	3.4
Ojai	56	3.3
Thousand Oaks	54	3.2
County Unincorporated	29	1.7
Port Hueneme	17	1.0
Moorpark	15	0.9
Fillmore	14	0.8
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>1,515</b>	<b>89.2</b>

There were a total of 182 (or 10.8% of) persons that slept in a city or area outside of Ventura County the night before they were counted.

**I. WHAT CITY DO YOU CONSIDER YOUR HOME?**

Homeless adult respondents provided a range of answers to the question—“What city did you consider your home?” Counters were instructed to record “county” if a respondent provided an answer other than a city in Ventura County. The range of answers was as follows:

City or Area	# of Persons	% of Persons
Oxnard	523	30.8
Ventura	433	25.5
Simi Valley	146	8.6
Camarillo	71	4.2
Santa Paula	63	3.7
Ojai	59	3.5
Thousand Oaks	51	3.0
Port Hueneme	24	1.4
Moorpark	14	0.8
County Unincorporated	12	0.7
Fillmore	10	0.6
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>1,406</b>	<b>82.8</b>

There were 291 (or 17.2% of) persons that considered their “home city” to be a place outside of Ventura County.

## II. Background Information

The Department of Housing and Urban Development, as part of its requirements for local jurisdictions to continue to receive Continuum of Care funding for homeless persons, asked local jurisdictional applicants to conduct a point-in-time (on a given day) homeless count during the last week of January of 2007.

### A. WHEN WAS THE 2007 COUNT CONDUCTED?

The homeless count was conducted throughout the day on January 23, 2007. The count was carried out on the streets in the morning and through the evening. Counting continued for the next couple of days on the streets at places that were not included on the 23rd because such places were not accessible or, in a few instances, counters were not available. Persons were only included in the overall count if they responded “yes” to the following question—Were you homeless on January 23rd? Identifier information was also collected to prevent duplication.

The count was also conducted in facilities during the day and throughout the evening on January 23rd. Counting continued for the next couple of days in facilities and residents were only included in the overall count if they responded “yes” to the following question—“Were you homeless on January 23rd?” Identifier information was also collected to prevent duplication.

### B. WHO WAS COUNTED?

A person was considered homeless, and thus counted, only when he/she fell within the HUD-based definition by residing in one of the places described below:

- a. in places not meant for human habitation, such as cars, parks, sidewalks, and abandoned buildings;
- b. in an emergency shelter; and
- c. in transitional housing for homeless persons.

HUD does not consider the following persons to be homeless—persons who are “doubled up,” or persons who are “near homelessness”—but considers them to be at risk of becoming homeless. Such persons were not included in the homeless count.

The County of Ventura, like many other counties, has a substantial number of households that are at risk of becoming homeless. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 2000, there were approximately 42,000 households consisting of about 130,000 persons (nearly one of every five residents) in Ventura County who were members of a household whose annual income was less than \$25,000. There were approximately 21,000 households consisting of about 66,000 persons whose annual income was less than \$15,000 a year.

Many of these persons can become homeless because of social structural issues such as increases in rent, loss of job, and rising health care costs. In addition, personal experiences such as domestic violence, physical disabilities, mental illness, and substance abuse can cause members of a low income household or an entire household to become homeless. Often, one or more of these experiences factor into a household's homeless experience.

### **C. WHO CARRIED OUT THE COUNT?**

The Ventura County Homeless and Housing Coalition ([www.vchhc.org](http://www.vchhc.org)) consulted with the Institute for Urban Research and Development (IURD), a community-based research and development agency of the non-profit Institute for Urban Initiatives ([www.urban-initiatives.org](http://www.urban-initiatives.org)), to plan and coordinate the count.

The Coalition has been coordinating the county's continuum of care system for homeless persons since 1996. Coordination has focused on applying for funding each year to HUD for "continuum of care homeless assistance." Coordination has also focused on implementing the annual goals and objectives that HUD requires in order to be competitive nationally for Continuum of Care homeless assistance funding. HUD required goals and objectives have included implementing homeless counts, increasing access to public assistance, and coordinating a homeless management information system among other required goals and objectives. To date, the coalition has representation from many public and private organizations including businesses, city departments, corporations, county departments, faith-based agencies, for-profit organizations, neighborhood groups, non-profit organizations, and private foundations.

The Institute for Urban Research and Development (IURD) is a community-based research and development agency that has completed many housing and homeless assessments including several homeless counts for cities and counties throughout Southern California. It is an agency of the Institute for Urban Initiatives which consists of several community and faith-

based agencies that respond to the economic, housing, and social needs of neighborhoods, cities, and counties from local community, regional, national, international, and faith-based perspectives.

The Coalition and IURD organized over 200 volunteers to help with the count. Each city had volunteer teams that were coordinated by a team leader(s). In addition, volunteer teams were coordinated by a team leader(s) to count in the unincorporated areas of the county. Teams counted on the streets, at non-residential program sites that served homeless persons such as food programs, health care centers, and public assistance sites, and at shelters and transitional housing programs.

### III. Methodology

The count instrument that was used collected the following information concerning every homeless person counted: first initial of first name, first initial of last name, gender, ethnicity, year born, and state born as noted below.

	First Initial	Last Initial	Gender	Ethnicity	Year Born	State Born
Example:	J	H	F	W	1960	CA

The methodology used during the enumeration process helped create an identifier that prevented a person from being included in the final tally of the count more than once. During the enumeration, counters recorded the initials, gender, ethnicity, year of birth, and state born of each individual homeless person. If the same person was encountered again, counters would establish the same code. However, this person would only be counted once in the final tally.

The information for every person every time was loaded into a data base. The information was then used to code each person. For example, a homeless person may have the following code of “WTMW1957CA. This meant that this person’s first name began with “W”, his last name began with “T”, he was male “M”, he was White “W”, born in 1957, and born in California.

Number of Persons	First Initial	Last Initial	Gender	Ethnicity	Year Born	State Born
1	J	H	F	W	1960	CA
2	H	T	M	L	1953	CA
3	R	K	F	L	1972	TX
4	K	N	M	AA	1969	CA
5	F	A	M	A	1980	CA
6	J	F	M	W	1971	CA
7	J	F	M	W	1971	CA
8	S	G	F	L	1968	NY
9	D	T	M	W	1962	CA
10	O	R	M	W	1959	CA

An example to illustrate how the process worked can be found within the table above. Numbers 6 and 7 (shaded in gray) would be considered the same person. Therefore, the person would only be counted once in the final tally that answered the question “how many homeless persons are there in the County of Ventura during a given day?” If for some reason there was doubt that numbers 6 and 7 were the same person, other collected data was used to address the doubt.

## IV. Findings By Jurisdiction

This section provides findings by jurisdiction. Findings include the total number of persons counted and a breakdown of the limited data collected from adults such as location, age, gender, ethnicity, state born, children, and family composition.

The following table provides a breakdown of the total number of persons counted within each jurisdiction.

Jurisdiction	Total # of Persons Counted
Camarillo	10
Fillmore	5
Moorpark	13
Ojai	82
Oxnard	671
Port Hueneme	9
Santa Paula	97
Simi Valley	163
Thousand Oaks	81
Unincorporated County	242
Ventura	588
<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,961</b>

A break down of data for each jurisdiction for the following categories is provided on the following pages: 1) location; 2) age; 3) gender; 4) ethnicity; 5) state born; 6) children; and 7) family composition.

## ■ CAMARILLO

The total number of persons counted in Camarillo was 10. There were 10 adults and 0 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 90% of persons (9) were counted in the streets and 10% (1) in a facility;

### 2. Age

- 30% of adults (3) were seniors (age 62+) and 0% (0) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 80% of adults (8) were men and 20% (2) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 100% of adults (10) were White;

### 5. State Born

- 70% of adults (7) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were members of families.

## ■ FILLMORE

The total number of persons counted in Fillmore was 5. There were 5 adults and 0 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 100% of persons (5) were counted in a facility;

### 2. Age

- 0% of adults (0) were seniors (age 62+) and 40% (2) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 100% of adults (5) were men;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 100% of adults (5) were White;

### 5. State Born

- 20% of adults (1) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were members of families.

## ■ MOORPARK

The total number of persons counted in Moorpark was 13. There were 13 adults and 0 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 100% of persons (13) were counted on the streets;

### 2. Age

- 7.7% of adults (1) were seniors (age 62+) and 0% (0) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 92.3% of adults (12) were men and 7.7% (1) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 77% of adults (10) were Hispanic or Latino and 23% (3) were White;

### 5. State Born

- 23.1% of adults (3) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were members of families.

## ■ OJAI

The total number of persons counted in Ojai was 82. There were 79 adults and 3 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 100% of persons (82) were counted in the streets;

### 2. Age

- 7.6% of adults (6) were seniors (age 62+) and 8.9% (7) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 79.7% of adults (63) were men and 20.3% (16) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 73.5% of adults (58) were White; 24.1% (19) were Hispanic or Latino; and 2.4% were African American or Black; American Indian or Alaskan Native; Asian/Pacific Islander; or Other;

### 5. State Born

- 41.8% of adults (33) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 3.7% (or 3) of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 2 families were counted—1 two-parent family with children and 1 one-parent family with children.

## ■ OXNARD

The total number of persons counted in Oxnard was 671. There were 558 adults and 113 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 71.4% of persons (479) were counted on the streets and 28.6% (192) were counted in facilities;

### 2. Age

- 5.1% of adults (28) were seniors (age 62+) and 11.0% (61) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24; and 0.8% (4) were unaccompanied youth (without parents) between the ages of 13 – 17;

### 3. Gender

- 70.5% of adults (393) were men and 29.5% (165) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 44.8% of adults (250) were White; 41.3% (231) were Hispanic or Latino; 7.5% (42) were African American or Black, 1.8% (10) were American Indian or Alaskan Native, 1.5% (8) were Asian/Pacific Islander, and 3.1% (17) stated Other;

### 5. State Born

- 40.4% of adults (225) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 16.9% (or 113) of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 53 families were counted—8 two-parent families with children and 45 one-parent families with children.

## ■ PORT HUENEME

The total number of persons counted in Port Hueneme was 9. There were 9 adults and 0 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 100% of persons (9) were counted on the streets;

### 2. Age

- 0% of adults (0) were seniors (age 62+) and 0% (0) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 77.7% of adults (7) were men and 22.3% (2) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 77.7% of adults (7) were White and 22.3% (2) were Hispanic or Latino;

### 5. State Born

- 77.7% of adults (7) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 0% of the total number of persons counted were members of families.

## ■ SANTA PAULA

The total number of persons counted in Santa Paula was 97. There were 74 adults and 23 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 100% of persons (97) were counted on the streets;

### 2. Age

- 0% of adults (0) were seniors (age 62+) and 6.8% (5) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 66.3% of adults (49) were men and 33.7% (25) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 67.6% of adults (50) were Hispanic or Latino; 31.1% (23) were White; and 1.3% (1) stated Other;

### 5. State Born

- 70.3% of adults (52) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 23.8% (or 23) of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 12 families were counted—7 two-parent families with children and 5 one-parent families with children.

## ■ SIMI VALLEY

The total number of persons counted in Simi Valley was 163. There were 146 adults and 17 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 86.5% of persons (141) were counted on the streets and 13.5% (22) in facilities;

### 2. Age

- 0.7% of adults (1) were seniors (age 62+) and 2.8% (4) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 67.2% of adults (98) were men and 32.8% (48) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 80.9% of adults (118) were White; 10.9% (16) were Hispanic or Latino; 2.7% (4) were American Indian or Alaskan Native; 2.1% (3) were African American or Black; 0.7% (1) were Asian/Pacific Islander; and 2.7% (4) stated Other;

### 5. State Born

- 74.0% of adults (108) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 10.5% (or 17) of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 13 families were counted—1 two-parent family with children and 12 one-parent families with children.

## ■ THOUSAND OAKS

The total number of persons counted in Thousand Oaks was 81. There were 65 adults and 16 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 69.1% of persons (56) were counted on the streets and 30.9% (25) in facilities;

### 2. Age

- 1.6% of adults (1) were seniors (age 62+) and 3.1% (2) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 61.6% of adults (40) were men and 38.4% (25) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 76.9% of adults (50) were White; 21.6% (14) were Hispanic or Latino; 1.5% (1) were African American or Black; 0% (0) were American Indian or Alaskan Native; 0% (0) were Asian/Pacific Islander; and 0% (0) stated Other;

### 5. State Born

- 67.7% of adults (44) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 19.8% (or 16) of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 10 families were counted—0 two-parent families with children and 10 one-parent families with children.

## ■ UNINCORPORATED AREAS

The total number of persons counted in Unincorporated Areas was 242. There were 179 adults and 63 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 44.7% of persons (108) were counted on the streets and 55.3% (134) in facilities;

### 2. Age

- 1.2% of adults (2) were seniors (age 62+) and 14.6% (26) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24;

### 3. Gender

- 55.3% of adults (99) were men and 44.7% (80) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 60.9% of adults (109) were White; 24.6% (44) were Hispanic or Latino; 8.3% (15) were African American or Black; 4.0% (7) were Asian/Pacific Islander; 0.6% (1) were American Indian or Alaskan Native; and 1.6% (3) stated Other;

### 5. State Born

- 72.7% of adults (130) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 26.1% (or 63) of the total number of persons counted were children;

### 7. Family Composition

- 29 families were counted—4 two-parent families with children and 25 one-parent families with children.

## ■ VENTURA

The total number of persons counted in Ventura was 588. There were 541 adults and 47 children. A breakdown of the data collected from adults is as follows:

### 1. Location

- 85.9% of persons (505) were counted on the streets and 14.1% (83) were counted in facilities;

### 2. Age

- 3.2% of adults (17) were seniors (age 62+) and 5.6% (30) were youth between the ages of 18 – 24; and 0.6% (3) were unaccompanied youth (without parents) between the ages of 13 – 17;

### 3. Gender

- 71.8% of adults (388) were men and 28.2% (153) were women;

### 4. Ethnicity

- 75.6% of adults (409) were White; 16.9% (92) were Hispanic or Latino; 4.7% (25) were African American or Black; 2.4% (13) were American Indian or Alaskan Native; 0.4% (2) were Asian/Pacific Islander; and 0% (0) stated Other;

### 5. State Born

- 64.2% of adults (347) were born in California;

### 6. Children

- 8.0% (or 47) of the total number of persons counted were children

### 7. Family Composition

- 23 families were counted—10 two-parent families with children and 13 one-parent families with children.

